

افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA

چو کشور نباشد تن من مباد بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مباد
همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com

afgazad@gmail.com

European Languages

زبانهای اروپایی

Luis Ernesto Sabini Fernandez
15.03.2022

Geopolitical disputes, Russian Germanic alliance, NATO and invasion of Ukraine

Excited. Against Russia, concrete measures. Against NATO, Israel, USA or Saudi Arabia... parole, parole. The doubly thought continues to enjoy very good health...



Russia's invasion of Ukraine in this month of March 2022 has unleashed a series of mental, ideological mechanisms, among which we are not protagonists of such a situation.

Listing: systematic dehistoricization, movement of the geopolitical board, double thinking (let's leave aside Manichaeism, for intellectually painful), campaigns against interventionism and a long etcetera.



People carrying torches and nationalist flags from the far-right ultranationalist political party Svoboda during a rally of several nationalist parties to commemorate Stepan Bandera's 112th birthday, in Kiev, Ukraine, in January 2021 PHOTO: SERGEY DOLZHENKO EFE

SYSTEMATIC DEHISTORIZATION

99% of the analyses, approaches, go back at most to 2014... the change of hand of the Crimean peninsula, the proclamation of the Russian-speaking republics of Luhansk and Donetsk in the Donbass area. Ignoring the entire Soviet period (1919-1991) is superficial or impossible to adopt.

In Tsarist times, with an empire widening (in Europe to the west and in Asia to the east), there was talk of Mother Rus, or Great Rus, Little Rus or Ukraine and White Russia (Belarus). The first imperial capital was then Kiev.

There is then a common denominator, the Russian, which is much more intense than the usual one between different or differentiated nations.

At the beginning of the twentieth century, various Ukrainian political expressions resisted the Bolshevik advance. A very peasant country. It is difficult to reconcile this peasant reality and the proletarian project. Therefore, when Nazism began its invasion of the Soviet Union, in many parts of Ukraine they were not resisted but on the contrary

welcomed (there could be the historical origin of a certain affinity with the racist extreme right in sectors of the Ukrainian population).

Why could the Nazis count on allies among Slavs, their purifying racism being so hostile to "inferior human races" (as they assumed that of Slavs)?

Stalinism wreaked havoc on the Ukrainian peasant population. Holodomor. It is estimated that millions of Ukrainians literally starved to death while the Soviet commissars requisitioned every last cup of flour, much to the glory of the proletariat, that is, of themselves and their Bolshevik clique.

Looted, starved, killed (if they were resistant to losing their only cow or food reserve for the winter), the surviving Ukrainians received the Nazis as "saviors".

The Nazis, in full expansion – they had not yet reached Stalingrad and the beginning of the end began – were even able to denounce the mass graves they discovered in their path, which were not the ones they had not yet begun to do but those that the Bolsheviks had left in their wake with the implantation of the "forced collectivization" of 1929 and the famines of the '30s that constituted their legacy, that is also forced.

From that time comes, without a doubt, a certain affinity of Ukrainian population with Lado Derecho, Maidan, Detachment Azov, with Nazism or a certain ethnic racism.

Because in Ukraine what has happened in so many different societies is repeated: in the face of the most flagrant, hurtful injustices, a rebellion arises. Even a rebellion. If that movement, psychic, of a population, which generally embodies in an ideology or policy of justice, egalitarianism, of frontal rejection of privileges; what is generally described as left, fails, because it reveals the opposite of what it preaches, then, a next wave of deep dissatisfaction, a new movement of social rejection, will not come from the side of egalitarianism, of democratism, from the left, but from its opposites; authoritarian movements, radical, yes, but top-down, racist.

Socialist preaching resulted in the USSR, but also in Ukraine, at lightning speed, in "warehouses for Bolsheviks" that made the latter the only ones who could eat regularly, given the brutal food crisis that produced the very implantation of the "new order" added to the already traditional shortage of food that punished the most unguarded layers before. And this immediately meant that the fastest, the most opportunistic, also became Bolsheviks, upsetting the original meaning, the initial aspirations.

This "internal" history of the USSR must be integrated, sequentially, with the second post-war period. From there, the U.S. comes out as an all-encompassing power. However, at first there is talk of another winner, too: the USSR. The quadripartite system that was established then, and was embodied in the occupation of Germany by 4 sectors: USA, Russia, the United Kingdom and France. The UK, a little to his regret (but not too much; he recognized a lineage) granted the command post to his offspring and successor; U.S.

France, on the other hand, defended a Europeanism that proved inconducive because Europe had entered a dependence, until today irreversible, on the United States.

The USSR then figures as one of the two superpowers (for most of it) of the twentieth century. Converted into a nuclear power, the USSR will assign two of its constituent republics nuclear weapons: Russia and Ukraine.

With the Soviet collapse and the consequent rise to a single superpower of the United States, all the "international" scaffolding that the US created at its service, the UN, as at the time the League of Nations wanted to be the sounding board of the *pax britannica* (1919-1946), had in turn an unexpected main partner; the USSR.

The UN (California, 1945, no expiration date for now) thus had a Security Council or Executive with those winners of the European theater; USA, Russia, UK and France) plus China, which was the great presence of the East in the brand new international network.

China was then something very different from the current one, because it was ruled by a Westernist, anti-communist regime. But in 1949, that government lost control of 99% of the territory and was reduced to the island of Taiwan and adjacent islets, and the "Big Four" had no choice but to shake the world chessboard, leaving Taiwan as nationalist China out of the Security Council, incorporating the People's Republic of China to the top. Thus turning that Council into a quintet (decades later, Taiwan, the Republic of China) will be expelled from the UN, thus joining the pariah nations not recognized in the UN (and being among them – the Saharawi nation, Tibet, the Abkhaz – the largest population, with its more than 20 million inhabitants).

Now, the world board, left-handed, is torn again with the Soviet collapse. And then, an independent Ukraine appears (formally free of all foreign power). "Breadbasket of Europe". A very fertile land, one of the best in Europe, 40 million inhabitants, regional power. The ideologue of the Anglo-American axis, Samuel Huntington, in his plans to maintain that supremacy, will point to Ukraine (and other medium-large nations, such as

Turkey) as "partible" nations, fracturing. In the Ukrainian case, the Soviet period made that land a west favorable to Europe and an east more linked to Russia. But this fracture comes from before the same USSR because broadly those same configurations characterized the Catholic settlements to the west and the Orthodox to the east.

Regardless of that history, "our" present is incomprehensible.

MOVES OF THE GEOPOLITICAL BOARD

Gas and Russian energy sources in general are tempting for Europe. For more automation, transcendence of the physical limits provided by the hypermodern industry, the physical proximity to energy sources remains crucial. Russian oil or gas is much closer to Germany than beyond the seas.

Little by little, the supply network of such energy sources was woven to the main European industrial engine; Germany. The Nord Stream 1, with its more than 1200 km. of submarine laying and almost 30 billion m³ The annual supply, started in 2011, was in fact to be doubled by Nord Stream 2 projected to open in 2022. For the axis of general globalization, under the baton of the White House, the United Kingdom and Israel, this new gas pipeline was going to iron out rough edges between East and West (between Russia and Europe), and that possible "outbreak of peace" greatly uncomfortable to the headlines of absolute and proper globalization.

Así que nones. Lidando por Lugansk y Donetsk se le escapó a Biden: -Si siguen poniendo dificultades, bombardeamos el Nord Stream 2... el periodista sorprendido dijo: ¿Pero y esto qué..., ¿qué tiene que ver? Tiene mucho que ver. Al estilo del político orador de Jacques Prévert.[\[1\]](#)

Esta parte del tablero, sin embargo, es apenas parte. "Del otro lado" del mismo tablero, en modo "imperio", Putin y su equipo se lanzó al agua con una operación militar desembozada, apenas amparada en el reconocimiento "legal" de dos territorios ucranianos poblados por rusos, designados como repúblicas; Lugansk y Donetsk en Ucrania oriental. Esto permitió a Zelenski ubicarse como defensor, como atacado, ignorando el hostigamiento mortal de años sobre esos territorios. Pero es difícil esperar algo más veraz del presidente ucraniano cuando ha "explicado" que si hay víctimas en la Franja de Gaza,[\[2\]](#) son judíos.

PENSAMIENTO DOBLE (¿O DÚPLICE?)

La esquizofrenia política parece ya tan sustancial a la política que se hace difícil despegar una de otra.

An abhorrent but sincere phrase proclaims: "To the enemy neither justice." A phrase that seems to suffer ethical obsolescence, thrown into the void of history in our era. That is why, when a whole movement "in solidarity" with Ukrainian society is initiated, attacked in an artillery attack, traditional, in the best style of the landings of American gunboats in the Caribbean, the mass media have been quick to explain that they are against all interventionism, all aggression.

And they condemn Russia with all their media force and that of the politicians and referents who build their opinions from there. Through a widespread boycott. But they remind us that they have not been pleased with the outrage against Libya, the continuous massacres in Palestine, the Yemeni hecatomb... wow, I suppose that in such cases and situations, they must have been too busy to condemn, boycott and "cancel" (which sounds like *dernier cri* in ethics...). But now, recapitulating, their democratism would be so even that they remind us: to condemn Putin, NATO, Israel, the US, Saudi Arabia alike...

Excited. Against Russia, concrete measures. Against NATO, Israel, USA or Saudi Arabia... *parole, parole*. The doubly thought continues to enjoy very good health...

Notes:

[1] "Discourse on Peace", by Jacques Prévert:

*"Towards the end of an extremely important
speech the great statesman stumbling
upon a beautiful empty
phrase falls inside
and helplessly opening his mouth to the teeth*

*and tooth decay of his peaceful reasonings.
exposes the nerve of war;
the delicate matter of money."*

[2] A territory that has never been Israeli or known to have been Jewish and that, precisely because of its status as an Arab seat, has been particularly punished by the Israeli

occupation that has repeatedly attacked it with artillery and shelling, despite being a civilian enclave. A society, in short. Besieged by air, sea and land since 2006. A new Numancia, jealously ignored.

Luis Ernesto Sabini Fernandez

Edited by [María Piedad Ossaba](#)

Source: [Tlaxcala](#), March 11, 2022

La Pluma. Net 13.03.2022