افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

ALA-ALA ن مبـــاد بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مــباد کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

چو کشور نباشد تن من مبـــاد همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم

www.afgazad.com	
European Languages	

afgazad@gmail.com زبانهای ارویائی

*Jeremy Kuzmarov 13.04.2022* 

# **Biden Administration Budgets Record \$27.6 Billion for Militarization of Outer-Space**



The Space Test Program-3 Mission for the U.S. Space Force's Space Systems Command preparing for launch at Cape Canaveral, Florida. [Source: <u>spacenews.com</u>]

## New cold war provides continued backdrop for misallocation of public resources into weaponry right out of science fiction films

As homeless rates skyrocket and public education flounders, the Biden administration has proposed a record \$27.6 billion budget for the militarization of outer space in 2023—a 25% increase from the 2022 budget.

Adopted in violation of the 1967 Outer Space Treaty that sets aside space "for peaceful purposes," Biden's space program is designed to maintain strategic advantages over

#### www.afgazad.com

Russia and China, which are developing what U.S. intelligence agencies consider <u>"destructive anti-satellite weapons," including ballistic missiles, that could be</u> <u>"used against the U.S."</u>



Plesetsk Cosmodrome in northern Russia, the site of a Russian anti-satellite missile test in April 2020. [Source: <u>space.com</u>]

On Wednesday, April 6, the House Armed Services Committee held <u>hearings</u> that publicized the national security space activities of the Department of Defense, including within the U.S. Space Force (USSF), National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) and National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA).

Jim Cooper (D-TN), Chairman of the Subcommittee on Strategic Forces which oversees the U.S. space program, stated in introducing the panel from the space symposium in Colorado Springs, Colorado, that he was encouraged by the new budget and fact that the Pentagon had finally recognized what his subcommittee had long suggested: Space was no longer a <u>"benign domain."</u>



Jim Cooper [Source: armedservices.house.gov]

According to Cooper, we "must be prepared to defend our assets in orbit and maintain our ability to use space in support of global combatant commanders...in the wake of <u>an</u> <u>irresponsible satellite test by Russia [which left thousands of pieces of debris] and China's</u> grappling with a U.S. satellite and pulling it out of orbit, we cannot be too bold or aggressive in demonstrating our intent in space."

Dr. John Plumb, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Space Policy, followed Cooper by reiterating that Russia and China had developed counter-space weapons to target U.S. space satellites which, he said, required major new investments to counteract.



Dr. John Plumb [Source: spacenews.com]

Plumb specified that \$4.7 billion out of the Biden budget would go toward development of a new missile-warning and missile-track architecture, \$1.8 billion toward development of GPS satellites and integration of GPS user military equipment; \$1.6 billion toward development of jam resistance satellite communication capabilities; and \$1.6 billion toward national security space launches.

#### L3 Harris and Jim Cooper

One of the major winners of Biden's new budget—and by implication the new Cold War—is the Florida-based defense contractor L3 Harris.


Last October, L3 Harris was awarded a \$120.7 million contract to produce ground-based communications jammers used to block adversaries' satellite transmissions—the <u>"first offensive weapon system in the United States Space Force."</u>

#### www.afgazad.com



[Source: airforcemag.com]

L3 Harris is also the <u>lead Pentagon contractor on the space-based missile-tracking</u> <u>system</u> that is being generously funded by the Biden administration.



Space-based missile-tracking system developed by L3 Harris and Space X, two big winners from Biden's new budget and the new Cold War. [Source: <u>breakingdefense.com</u>] According to opensecrets.com, <u>L3 Harris is listed as Jim Cooper's top contributor during the 2020 election cycle</u>: It <u>provided him with \$15,500</u> which helped Cooper <u>win his 16<sup>th</sup> term</u>.

No wonder then that Cooper was so pleased with Biden's new budget.

## Nazi Roots—Seeking "Control over the Earth"

The roots of the U.S. weaponization of space <u>lie with the former Nazi rocket scientists and</u> <u>engineers brought to the U.S. from Germany after World War II under Operation</u> <u>Paperclip</u>.


In 1947, Major General Walter Dornberger, former head of the Nazi rocket program now working as an Air Force and Pentagon consultant, proposed a system—subsequently

implemented under the acronym NABS (Nuclear Armed Bombardment Satellites)—of hundreds of nuclear-armed satellites all orbiting at different altitudes and angles, each capable of re-entering the atmosphere on command from Earth to proceed to its target.



General Walter Dornberger [Source: <u>Spartacus-educational.com</u>] Historian Jack Manno, author of *Arming the Heavens: The Hidden Military Agenda for Space, 1945-1995* (New York: Dodd Mead, 1984), told space expert Karl Grossman that "control over the Earth" was what those who have wanted to weaponize space seek. <u>"The</u> <u>aim is to … have the capacity to carry out global warfare [using] weapons systems that</u> <u>reside in space."</u>

This capacity was enhanced considerably with Ronald Reagan's Star Wars program in the 1980s—which aimed to create space battle stations from which laser weapons refracted through mirrors could intercept incoming ballistic missiles or nuclear bombs<sup>[11]</sup>—and then with the <u>establishment by Donald Trump in 2019 of the Space Force as the sixth branch of the U.S. armed forces.</u>



Donald Trump inspects the Space Force flag. [Source: japantimes.co]

Both Chinese and Russian government leaders have publicly stated their desire for a binding treaty to ban the deployment of "any types of weapons" in space, which the U.S. has rejected. The profits to military industry are just too high, and Americans have long considered super-weapons the key to defeating evil empires and securing a world of peace and prosperity dominated by the U.S.<sup>[2]</sup>

## Aces Up the American Sleeve

Tonya P. Wilkerson, deputy director of the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, testified at the House hearing last Wednesday that U.S. space-based satellites have proven valuable in the Ukraine war in providing the public images of the devastation caused by the Russian invasion.



Tonya P. Wilkerson [Source: usgif.org]

The U.S. today is developing some truly fantastical weapons systems that it is believed will help win future wars.

One, <u>"Rod from God,</u>" is a \$230 million tungsten projectile rod measuring 20 feet long and 1 foot in diameter that can be dropped directly from orbit in outer space and achieve the force of impact from a nuclear strike but with none of the radioactive fallout.



Rod from God. [Source: youtube.com]

The U.S. military has hinted that it will publicly unveil another <u>secret space-based super</u> weapon that is designed to wreak havoc on enemy satellites.

Speculation ranges from the weapon being a land-based mobile laser to blind enemy satellites, radio-frequency jammers, or high-powered microwave systems to throw other satellites' electronics into disarray.



[Source: eurasiantimes.com]

## The Heavens are for Wonder, not Warfare

A dedicated core of activists showed up at the space symposium in Colorado Springs that Jim Cooper was attending with signs stating <u>"the heavens are for WONDER, not warfare,"</u> "The USA is Suffering from a Military-Industrial Complex," and "Where is the Morality in Military-Funded Technology."



Esther Kisamoore protesting outside annual space symposium in Denver in August 2021. Kisamoore is part of the group Citizens for Peace in Space. [Source: <u>denverpost.com</u>] At least 60% of the symposium's exhibits, panels and speeches were from military contractors. According to Loring Wirbel, author of *Star Wars: US Tools of Space Supremacy* (London: Pluto Press, 2004), even companies intent on working solely in the civilian realm <u>"often get prodded into taking contracts with the Air Force, Space Force or intelligence community."</u>

Evelyn Baher-Murphy, a freshman at Colorado College who is part of a student peace group, told the local newspaper that "adding space as a landscape for future battles furthers the proliferation of war and violence. Enough damage and suffering have already been caused in the air, on land and on water. It makes it illogical to place our investments to make the war machine larger than it already is. <u>Our tax dollars too often fund militarism instead of things like education."</u>

Another freshman, Tim Smith of Minneapolis, said that "we are at the most unequal moment in history—folks can work a full-time job and still not be able to get by. <u>Our</u> government is concerned with spending millions, billions of dollars on putting random

stuff in space. How is this just? How does this demonstrate a government whose priority is the well-being of its citizens? It doesn

When you donate to *CovertAction Magazine*, you are supporting investigative journalism. Your contributions go directly to supporting the development, production, editing, and dissemination of the Magazine.

*CovertAction Magazine* does not receive corporate or government sponsorship. Yet, we hold a steadfast commitment to providing compensation for writers, editorial and technical support. Your support helps facilitate this compensation as well as increase the caliber of this work.

Please make a donation by clicking on the donate logo above and enter the amount and your credit or debit card information.

CovertAction Magazine, CovertAction Quarterly and CovertAction Information Bulletin are projects of CovertAction Institute, Inc., a not-for-profit organization incorporated in the State of New York.

We sincerely thank you for your support.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are the sole responsibility of the author(s). CovertAction Institute, Inc. (CAI), including its Board of Directors (BD), Editorial Board (EB), Advisory Board (AB), staff, volunteers and its projects (including *CovertAction Magazine*) are not responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. This article also does not necessarily represent the views the BD, the EB, the AB, staff, volunteers, or any members of its projects.

**Differing viewpoints:** CAM publishes articles with differing viewpoints in an effort to nurture vibrant debate and thoughtful critical analysis. Feel free to comment on the articles in the comment section and/or send your letters to the <u>Editors</u>, which we will publish in the Letters column.

**Copyrighted Material:** This web site may contain copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. As a not-for-profit charitable organization incorporated in the State of New York, we are making such material available in an effort to advance the understanding of humanity's problems and hopefully to help find solutions for those problems. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. You can read more about <u>'fair use' and US Copyright Law</u> at the Legal Information Institute of Cornell Law School.

**Republishing:** *CovertAction Magazine* (CAM) grants permission to cross-post CAM articles on not-for-profit community internet sites as long as the source is acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original *CovertAction Magazine* article. Also, kindly let us know at info@CovertActionMagazine.com. For publication of CAM articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: info@CovertActionMagazine.com.

By using this site, you agree to these terms above.