

افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA

چو کشور نباشد تن من مباد
بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مباد
همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم
از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com

afgazad@gmail.com

European Languages

زبانهای اروپایی

ByRafael Poch de Feliu
13.11.2022

China's success determines military tension



Sources: Ctxt

Ukraine is part of and is a prolegomenon to the current cold war against China in East Asia.

For some time and with an approximate periodicity of once per month, U.S. naval air forces enter, demonstrate, and provocatively, in the Taiwan Strait, while forces China's response with various military moves ranging from air raids up to missile launches. The conclusion is clear: not only are we in a "cold war" in East Asia, but the The danger of open military conflict is very serious. While no one desires, many "experts" (often linked to the complex military-industrial) consider it "inevitable", and everyone approaches physically to that conflict by the mere fact of putting their forces armed permanently in contact.

www.afgazad.com

afgazad@gmail.com

As the last official document of the military doctrine of States Unidos, just published, relates directly in the same package the what happens between Ukraine and Russia with the pulse with China, and estimates that This is the main dimension of all this, it is necessary to ask oneself how we got to this. What happened?

To answer, one must look at the general framework of several decades of "Chinese success".

Success

China's integration into globalization, understood in this case as the pseudonym of U.S. world domination, it contained implicitly as a consequence of the conversion of China into a vassal of China. Occident.

The purpose was to pressure China to implement the reforms. structural defined by the World Bank and the Monetary Fund International, fully open its markets to companies Western and that the integration of Chinese elites into their Globalization will eventually give rise to a more subaltern form of government. acceptable to the West than that of the Chinese Communist Party.

To buy a single Boeing aircraft from the United States, China had to produce one hundred million pairs of pants.

It was not planned to play on the terrain designed by others, China twisted that purpose. The "Chinese miracle" was using a recipe Western designed for submission to strengthen itself autonomous and independent. It did so by placing conditions and restrictions on the entry of foreign capital into China and, above all, maintaining A very firm control of the reins of the process. He got it because, thanks to the low price and high efficiency of labor in China, Foreign capitalists and businessmen made huge profits in the "Factory of the world" and that appeased and moderated their governments.

China took advantage of this integration into globalization to develop, learn and acquire technology.

The results are in sight and are extraordinary in all Orders; in terms of increased average life expectancy, elimination of poverty, GDP (remember that in 1980 the weight of China in global GDP was 2.3% and today is 18.5%), education, science and technology, military strength, big companies, not forgetting, Naturally, great progress in harmful environmental emissions. All That will enter the history and economics textbooks of the future.

Faced with this result, a well-known American commentator (CNN's Fareed Zakaria) expressed his bewilderment thus:

"The strategy produced complications and complexities that led to a more powerful China that did not respond to the Western expectations", that is, in the expectation that, in the process, China would become subaltern.

All this happened in the previous 30 years, but the chronicle of the Later years added even more anxiety to the situation. The crisis 2008 Global Financial, genuine casino economy detritus with The U.S. center offered the first major evidence of Western weakness and the dangers of non-regularization of the financial sector, as well as the general fact that capital Rule over governments and not the other way around. China ruled the crisis a lot better, as had happened eight years earlier with the bursting of the bubble dotcom.

First, the disastrous consequences of the wars that have been chained since the attacks of 11-S of 2001, with more than three millions dead, some forty million displaced and several destroyed societies and states, made clear a gigantic irresponsibility on the part of the world's leading power. Withdrawal U.S. climate change agreement and mismanagement of the pandemic crisis in the West (in comparison, not only with China, if not with the whole of East Asia) increased that evidence of disorder. So, against this background, the response of The United States has been military pressure and sanctions.

The answer

Since the normalization of Sino-Soviet relations in May In 1989, China enjoyed thirty years of external tranquility that led to They allowed to concentrate on its development.

Self-eliminated the USSR as a great adversary, in the nineties, the look of Washington's strategists began to turn to China, but the New York 11-S placed jihadist terrorism at the center (another result of the previous bad policy that turned against its authors) and offered China a ten-year extension: ten years more than tranquillity.

In 2012, Obama announced the *Pivot to Asia*: moving the Pacific the bulk of the U.S. military-naval air force, to tighten the military encirclement around China.

The Chinese reacted by putting on seat belts: strengthening the authority of the party at all levels and the personal leadership in their collective direction.

But above all, in 2013 China announced the New Silk Road *Initiative*, An ambitious global strategy to break the siege and export overcapacity. That is to say, a strategy at once geopolitical and Economic.

The New Silk Road is a decades-long effort with astronomical funding (from 4 to 8 trillion dollars), aimed at establishing an international geo-economic support network that economically and commercially integrate 70% of humanity through Eurasia. Without needing to recall the theses of Halford Mackinder that Now they are dusted, that

necessarily erodes the world power of The United States in the hemisphere. It also greatly complicates any Purpose of encirclement of a power that, without being a "friend", nor "ally", nor "Bloc leader" is a positive partner of almost all nations.

The implicit goal of the New Silk Road, in the words of Henry Kissinger is nothing less than "shifting the center of gravity of the world from the Atlantic to the Pacific." At your side the historic Plan Marshall looks like something small...

Cold war

With Donald Trump, the climate change was abrupt, especially when in his July 2020 speech by Secretary of State Michael Pompeo, openly appealed for regime change in China, pointing directly the Chinese Communist Party as the "main enemy of the United States" United."

Despite the unusual division of the *American establishment*, the Trade sanctions policy and military pressure against China has a broad consensus in both factions of the U.S. regime. This is already an open cold war, with strong campaigns of propaganda and demonization of the adversary. With Biden we attended a escalation of tension with Taiwan, the world's leading producer of semiconductors, center stage.

Since 1978, the recognition of the "one China" principle, i.e. that Taiwan is part of it, as well as the *Taiwan Relations Act* (TRA) of 1979, were the foundation of the bilateral relationship in this area.

The content of the TRA was ambiguous: although the island belonged to China, the supply of "defensive weapons" to Taiwan was contemplated and said that any attempt to get Beijing to resolve by force secession would be a matter of "grave concern." That is to say: it was not said "We will help Taiwan militarily if there is conflict."

Now it is said. Biden has said it four or five times. In addition all U.S. action The U.S. Draws a Provocative Rethinking That John Ross exposes this way in *Tricontinental* (published in Spanish by *El Salto*):

- (a) For the first time since the beginning of diplomatic relations between China and the United States, Biden invited a representative from Taipei to the inauguration of the president of the United States.
- b) Speaker Nancy Pelosi – the third official Highest-ranking American in the order of presidential succession– visited Taipei on August 2, 2022.
- c) The United States has requested Taipei's participation in the United Nations.
- (d) The United States has intensified the sale of arms and military equipment to the island.
- (e) The number of United States delegations visiting Taipei has increased.

f) U.S. Special Forces have trained Taiwanese ground and navy troops.

(g) The United States has increased its military deployment in the sea of South China and has regularly sent warships through the Taiwan Strait.

As in Ukraine with its de facto integration into NATO and its conversion into a military battering ram against Russia since 2014, this end of the ambiguity with Taiwan means Washington crosses a red line China's history. And as in Ukraine, in the geographical environment more immediate adversary. In addition, the United States is pressuring other countries in the Chinese environment: Australia, India, Japan, South Korea, (including England and the EU itself) to join the sanctions and military coalitions, in the same way as it has done in Europe with Ukraine.

As in Ukraine, in the Taiwan crisis there is no interest in negotiations to resolve tensions with a step back, nor to prevent accidental military clashes, nor to reduce risks in general.

The American security strategy affirms that the war in Ukraine, and the weakness of Russia that it appreciates in it, confirm that China represents "the main threat, as the only competitor endowed with the sufficient economic, military and political power needed to rethink the international order". To this end, it calls for revitalizing the network of alliances that reduce China's ability to maneuver. That's what you're doing. doing.

The war in Ukraine, which China certainly did not want, is directed to militarily prevent Eurasian integration, which is an axis fundamental to China's grand strategy of the New Silk Road. The The attack on the Baltic gas pipelines is the best illustration of that action to break vital ties and must be read in that context. From this point of view, Ukraine is part and is a prolegomenon of the ongoing cold war against China in East Asia.

At the moment, and although this vector may present problems in the In the future, the European Union has been made a vassal, and integrate it into that cold war against its main trading partner (China), which seriously harms its own economy.

The awareness of all this explains China's position in this war, his position that "European security must be decided by the Europeans" (Xi Jinping to Olaf Scholz in May), and his opposition to the sanctions against Russia, clearly exposed in April by the Chinese TV commentator Liu Xin: "They tell us, help me fight your Russian partner so that I can concentrate better later against you."

"The post-Cold War era is definitely over and is in its infancy. A competition is underway between the major powers to shape what comes next," President Biden writes in the introduction to the *National Security Strategy* document 2022, just published. "China is the

only competitor with the intention of Redefining the international order that has the capabilities to do it," he says.

The eloquent Ursula von der Leyen, the "American president of Europe", according to the American magazine *Politico*, recognises the unity of the whole package and the European belligerence in it when he states that "the war in Ukraine is not just a European war, it is a war for the future of the world so the scope of Europe it can only be the whole world."

Source: <https://ctxt.es/es/20221101/Firmas/41256/>

Rebellion 11.11.2022