

# افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA

چو کشور نیاشد تن من مباد      بدین بوم ویر زنده یک تن مباد  
همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم      از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

[www.afgazad.com](http://www.afgazad.com)

[afgazad@gmail.com](mailto:afgazad@gmail.com)

European Languages

زبان های اروپایی

<http://www.strategic-culture.org/news/2016/03/02/spanish-civil-war-and-syria-ii.html>

## Spanish Civil War and Syria (II)

Michael Jabara CARLEY

3/2/2016



The Syrian Arab Army is fighting a foreign invasion to preserve a secular, pluralist, multi-confessional society. Indeed, there are many Sunnis fighting against the foreign Jihadist invaders.

Unlike the Spanish civil war, the Syrian conflict is not a civil or religious war; it is a proxy war of aggression led by the United States and its various NATO and Middle-Eastern vassals. The MSM almost never discusses the issue of who is actually fighting against the Syrian government, and it's not surprising why. One German source [estimates](#) that 95 % of anti-Assad forces are foreign and not Syrian at all. Exact figures are hard to come by, though various intelligence services must have a good idea. A French general recently [estimated](#) that 80,000 out of 100,000

anti-government combatants in Syria are members of «terrorist groups» as identified by the United Nations. This French source does not identify the number of foreign combatants, but others have made estimates. Prior to the beginning of the Russian intervention, [the Syrian government](#) reckoned the figure at closer to 250,000 combatants fighting against it, almost all of which were foreign nationals. Take the French figure or the Syrian, or split the difference, it is a large armed force requiring enormous financial and logistical support in order to operate. It is well known that this support comes from the United States, Turkey, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, apartheid Israel, amongst other states.

«We support only the ‘moderates’ in Syria», the US government insists, «of course only moderates».



*«Sure you do», a cynic would reply, «and you’re humanitarians and democrats too».*

If the conflict in Syria is not a civil war fought by Syrians, but a proxy war fought largely by foreign terrorists, then the western narrative justifying intervention falls to pieces. In a proxy war, the Damascus government and its leader Assad become patriots and defenders of the nation besieged by foreign aggressors. Hence, the MSM has gone in search of a new line of propaganda [linking](#) the Spanish civil war with the war in Syria.

Where do the Jihadists come from? Who arms, supplies, pays and shelters them, and cares for their wounded? It is reported that they come from more than forty countries.



They are **funded, trained, and armed** by the United States, Britain, France, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, amongst others, and sheltered in Turkey, Jordan, and apartheid Israel. They are Takfiris or Wahhabis, opposed to any form of Islam but theirs. All others are apostates who merit death or enslavement. We have seen their cruelty in Syria, the beheadings, incinerations, drownings, mass executions, senseless killings of civilians, recorded and available on-line, and yet the West condemns Assad as the violent belligerent. Is there no limit to the MSM's *bourrage de crâne*? Recently, Jihadist publicity for the mass killings has diminished; it appears to be a taboo subject now for the MSM, no doubt to help the United States and its vassals hide the truth about their murderous Jihadist allies. Imagine the United States, Britain, and France, motivated by «western values», backing directly or indirectly the Islamic State and various iterations of al-Qaeda. In fact, the vaunted western values are those of neo-imperialism, neo-colonialism, and orientalism. It is therefore not at all paradoxical that the United States is allied with autocratic, absolutist Middle Eastern monarchies and emirates.



Russian military intervention in support of the Syrian government blew wide open the West's despicable alliance with foreign Jihadists. The Russians were on the right side in Spain in 1936 and are on the right side now in Syria. In 1936 many British Tories hoped for the success of Franco's fascists; in 2016 they hope for the success of the Jihadists in Syria. As for the French, well what can one say about the French? In 1936 the Popular Front government did not have the courage of its convictions; in 2016 the French «socialist» government, in spite of the Paris massacres last November, still acts as a US vassal. Whatever happened to those proud, prickly French patriots, protective of their country's independence?

Now Russian President Vladimir Putin is trying to finesse the United States out of its colour revolution in Syria by proposing a «truce» between warring factions, excluding the Islamic State and al-Qaeda and its affiliates. Good luck with that Mr President. As I write these lines, foreign Jihadists are rushing to change their names, calling themselves Syrian and «moderate», to gain a breathing spell to refit and rearm so they can resume the war against Damascus later on. It sounds like Minsk all over again. One hopes President Putin's truce is not premature. One hopes also that he knows how dangerous it is trying to «partner» with the US government... it's like taking an asp to one's breast.

*«Nu chto, what do you want, that's diplomacy»*, Putin would no doubt impatiently reply, having heard all these lines before.

As for the Spanish civil war, it belongs to the domain of historians. I don't think it has much pertinence to the Syrian war except as a demonstration of the MSM's subtle ingenuity in looking for pretexts for aggression. And once again, we see the West on the wrong side of a struggle against a malevolent force which could eventually threaten us all.