

افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA

چو کشور نیاشد تن من مباد بدین بوم ویر زنده یک تن مباد
همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com

afgazad@gmail.com

European Languages

زبان های اروپایی

http://english.pravda.ru/opinion/columnists/15-05-2013/124574-spy_scandal-0/?mode=print

US spy scandal: A typical example of Washington's Modus Operandi

5/15/2013

Skullduggery, bullying, blackmail, bribery, the buzz words in the lexicon of US diplomacy, increasingly a handbook of demonic activities and a classic example of a what-not-to-do list. It comes as no surprise then to find a CIA agent unceremoniously kicked out of Russia in abject humiliation for himself and for Washington. Good riddance!

Instead of cooperation, transparency and collaboration, it is crystal clear where Washington stands on the international stage: where it has always stood, namely squarely behind the lobbies which dictate US foreign policy and who glean billions as their vested interests are rewarded and paid back through wars.

As far as the CIA is concerned, one only has to leaf back through a few pages of its past history to see what it is, namely an evil organization embroiled with allegations of murder attempts, subversion, sabotage, murder, torture, sodomy, illegal detention, urination in food, breach of human rights, theft, destruction of property, crimes against the person, crimes against the state... in short, the very worst of the worst, the dregs at the very bottom of the deepest barrel.

Fast forward to 2013 and what have we here? A "diplomat" (in fact it is difficult to imagine that the USA and its FUKUS (France, UK, US) and NATO bedpals even understand the meaning of

the word), called Fogle, who is allegedly also a CIA agent (aren't they all, directly or not?) trying to use subversive methods to recruit a Russian intelligence officer as a spy.

So perhaps Washington can explain what a "third political secretary" working in its Embassy in Moscow is doing trying to bribe Russian officials with hard currency. And the second question is the following: Who can trust Washington? Up front we have the smiles and handshakes but behind the scenes it's the same old, same old.

Remember Libya? The "fill-in-the-details-later-if-you-just-trust-us-on-this-one" approach holds very little water now, does it not? As the Gaddafi grandchildren were roasted alive as "legitimate military targets" the "evidence" that Gaddafi was bombing his own people turned out to be nonsense. As did Iraq's WMD.

In short, the more Russia looks after itself, regulates things internally and trusts nobody among the bunch of liars that NATO countries have turned out to be, the better, as we can see now that the lid has been placed firmly upon the "NGOs" which were in some cases fronts for foreign subversive activity.

Does anyone remember that clear soundbite during the Georgia war when a "Georgian" commander ordered his troops in clear Kentucky English to "get back inside" their vehicle as they continued their panic-ridden flight southwards? Maybe Washington should send more of these splendid military advisors and third secretaries across...they do a sterling job, after all.